Absolute convergence:  $\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} a_n$  is called absolutely convergent if  $\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} |a_n|$  is convergent.

Lemma: 4 a series is absolutely convergent

then it is convergent and conditionally convergent!  $\left| \frac{\mathcal{E}}{\mathcal{E}} | \mathbf{an} \right| \leq \frac{\mathcal{E}}{\mathcal{E}} | \mathbf{an} |.$   $| \mathbf{n-m} | \mathbf{n-$ 

Paroof: Let  $\leq_{\mathbf{k}} = \sum_{n=m}^{k} a_n$   $t_{\mathbf{k}} = \sum_{n=m}^{k} |a_n|$ 

Note that fox b+1 = 9

$$\begin{vmatrix} S_q - S_p \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{9}{2} a_n - \frac{b}{2} a_n \\ n=m \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{vmatrix} \frac{9}{2} a_n \\ n=b+1 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= tq - tp$$

Because (th) k>m converges > (th) is a

Cauchy sequence. So + ESO, INSM S.t.

if  $9, \beta \ge N$  then  $| \pm 9 - \pm \beta | \le \varepsilon.$ 

=> | Sq - 5p | 5 E

=> (5k) is a Cauchy sequence. >> (5k) is convergent.

Since  $|S_k| \le k$   $\forall k > m$ 

⇒ lim/Sk! ≤ lim the

or  $\left| \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} a_n \right| \leq \left| \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} |a_n| \right|$ 

[Gonusse is not true]

```
§ Lecture 16.1
Thursday, 2 October 2025
                       22:32
```

Altornating series test: Let Zan be an injinite series with an so and anti san + n > m.

Then  $\tilde{\Xi}$  (-1) an is convergent iff (an) n=n converges to zero.

Broof: From zew test if \( \frac{2}{5} (-1)^n an is convergent then  $lan[(-1)^{N}a_{n}] = 0$ 

on 4 8>0 ] N>m s.t + n>m  $|(-1)^n a_n - 0| \leq \varepsilon$ 

> |an-0| 5 E => (an) nom converges to zero.

= Suppose convirsely that (an) converges to 0.

Let  $S_N = \sum_{n=m}^{N} (-1)^n a_n + n \ge m$ . Our goal is to show that (SN) N converges.

Note that m is arbitrary

Define  $E_k = S_{m+2k} = E_k = S_{m+2k} = E_k = S_{m+2k} = E_k = S_{m+2k} = E_k = S_{m+2k} = S_{m+$ 

 $Ok = Smf2k+1 = \sum_{k=0}^{mf2k+1} (G)^n a_n k \ge 0$ Then  $(S_N)_{N \ge m} = (S_m, S_{m+1}, S_{m+2}, S_{m+3}, \cdots)$ 

 $= (E_0, O_0, E_1, O_1, E_2, O_2, \cdots)$  $E_{k}-E_{k+1}=\sum_{n=m}^{m+2k}(1)^{n}a_{n}-\sum_{n=m}^{m+2k+2}(-1)^{n}a_{n}$ 

 $= (-1)^{m} \left[ a_{m+2k+1} - a_{m+2k+2} \right]$  $O_{k} - O_{k+1} = (-1)^{m+2k+3} [a_{m+2k+2} - a_{m+2k+3}]$ 

 $= (-1)^{m+2k} \left[ a_{m+2k+1} - a_{m+2k+2} \right]$ 

 $= (-1)^{m+1} \left[ a_{m+2k+2} - a_{m+2k+3} \right]$ of m is even. Hen  $E_k \ge E_{k+1}$   $E_k \le E_{k+1}$ 

 $O_k \leq O_{k+1}$   $O_k \geqslant O_{k+1}$ Suppose mis odd.  $(S_N)_{N \supset M} = (E_0, O_0, E_1, O_1, E_2, O_2, \dots)$ 

Note that  $E_k - O_k = \sum_{n=m}^{m+2k} a_n (E_1)^n - \sum_{n=m}^{m+2k+1} (E_1)^n a_n$ 

= - am+2k+1

(EL) kyo is increasing sequence

(Ox) kiso is decreasing sequence.

 $=-(1)^{m+2k+1}$ = (-1) m am+2k+1

 $\leq 0$ > Ex < Ox + k>0

=) (Ox) is decreasing sequence and lower bounded by an earlier  $E_{k}$ .  $(l \leq k)$   $E_{0} \leq E_{1} \cdots \leq E_{k} \leq O_{k} \leq O_{k+1} \leq O_{0}$ 

=> (Ek) is an increasing requerce and cupper

bounded by any  $O_{\ell}$ .  $(\ell \leq k)$ 

=> Both (Ek) and (Ok) correnjes.

let Leven = lim Ek Lodd = Plin Ok k-100

Leven - Low = - lim am+2k+1

Given E>0 choose K large enough s.t. + k>k 1Ek-L1 5 8/2

[Ok-L] < 8/2

Consujent.

Call L= Leven = Lod1.

Ek- Ok = - am+2k+1

Then M > K s. t + 6,2 > M  $|Sp-Sq| \leq |Sp-L|+|Sq-L|$ 

5 8/2+8/2 => (SN)N>m is a Cauchy sequence and herce

Note that  $E_0 \leq \cdots \leq E_k \leq O_k \leq O_{k-1} \leq \cdots \leq O_o$ => Eo and Oo are global losor and upper bounds

respectively. (m even).

## § Lecture 16.2 Monday, 6 October 2025 20:26

But we can't say yet whether 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}$$
 is conveyent.

## Series laus:

Let 
$$\underset{n=m}{\overset{\infty}{\geq}} a_n = x$$
,  $\underset{n=m}{\overset{\infty}{\leq}} b_n = y$ 

Thun 
$$\stackrel{ib}{\geq}$$
 (an +bn) =  $x+y$ 

lin IN = 9

Proof: 
$$S_N = \sum_{n=m}^{N} a_n$$

$$S_N = \sum_{n=m}^{N} b_n$$

$$S_N' = \sum_{n=m}^{N} (a_n + b_n) = S_N + S_N'$$
  
Given  $\lim_{n \to \infty} S_N = \infty$ 

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \left( C_N + \overline{S}_N \right) = \lim_{N\to\infty} S_N + \lim_{N\to\infty} S_N$$

$$= \chi_{YY}.$$

$$\frac{2}{n} = cx \quad \text{if} \quad \frac{2}{n} = x.$$

C Let Z an be a series of real numbers,

$$\frac{2}{2} a_n = \frac{2}{2} a_n + \frac{2}{2} a_n$$

$$\frac{2}{n=m} n=m$$

$$\frac{2}{n=m+k} a_n$$

$$S_{N} = \sum_{n=m}^{M+k-1} a_{n} + T_{N}$$

Food N>M+R

$$S_{N} = \sum_{N=1}^{N-1} F + \sum_$$

091 Th= F-SN 3 Sn-> S=> TN-> F-S.

Comparison test:

Let 
$$\tilde{Z}$$
 an and  $\tilde{Z}$  by be two series and  $\tilde{n}=m$ 

$$\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} |a_n| \leq \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} |b_n|$$

Proof: Let 
$$S_N = \sum_{n \in M} |a_n|$$

$$T_N = \sum_{n \in M} |b_n|$$

Then 
$$S_N \leq T_N$$

$$\exists \exists M \subseteq I - |T_M| \leq M + N > m$$

Note that 
$$T_N \leq |T_N| \leq M$$

conveyent.

§ Lecture 16.3 Monday, 6 October 2025 21:49

Cauchy Criterion: Let (an) = 1 be a decuasing

sequence st an 30 & antisan + n/m. Then  $\stackrel{\circ}{\underset{n=0}{\sum}}$  an is conveyent iff

\( \frac{1}{2} \) \( \frac{1}{2} \) \( \text{is convergent} \) \( \frac{1}{2} \) \( \text{is convergent} \)

Proof: SN = SQn

 $T_{k} = \sum_{k=0}^{K} a_{2k}$ 

of the prove that (SN) N=1 is bounded iff (TK) K= is bounded. Then ??

 $S_2KH_1 \leq T_k \leq 2 \int_{K} k$ 

Boof: For K=0  $S_{KH_{-1}} = S_1 = \alpha_1$ ,  $T_{K} = T_0 = \alpha_1$ ,  $2S_{2K} = 2S_1 = 2\alpha_1$ 

 $q_1 \leq a_1 \leq 2a_1$ Suppose Sokti = Tk & 250k is then.

Then  $T_{k+1} = \sum_{k=1}^{k+1} 2^k a_{2k}$ 

 $= T_{K} + 2^{K+1} q_{1}K+1$ 

 $= \sum_{h=0}^{k} a_h + \sum_{h=0}^{k+1} a_h$  $a_{n+1} \leq a_n$ 

= Sok + 2k azk+1  $\Rightarrow \left| 2 S_2 KH \right| \Rightarrow 2 S_2 K + 2^{K+1} a_2 K+1 \right| \Rightarrow 2$ 

>  $S_2k + Q_{2k+1} \left(2^{k+1}-2^k\right)$ 

 $S_{2K+2-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{k+2} a_{k}$  $= \sum_{k=0}^{k+1} a_k + \sum_{k=2}^{k+2} a_k$   $= \sum_{k=0}^{k+1} a_k$ 

 $= S_2 k + L_1 + 2^{k+1} Q_2 k + L_1$ 

 $\leq \int_{2^{k+1}-1} + q_{2^{k+1}} \left(2^{k+2} - 2^{k+1}\right)$ 

 $\leq 3S_2K + 2^{K+1}q, K+1$  $\leq 25$  KH

 $\leq T_k + 2^{k+1} a, k+1$ 

 $S_2K+2_1 \leq S_2K+1_1 + 2^{K+1} q_2K+1$ 

If (SN) N>1 is bounded than (S2K) K is bounded.

3 (Tr) is bounded

⇒ Sktl ≤ M + K≥0

=> S2K+2-1 < TR+1 < 21,000

of TK is bounded than JM s.t. S2KH\_1 & M +k>0. But 2 K+1 -1 > K+1

 $\int_{2^{k+1}-1}^{S_{2^{k+1}}} \leq T_{k} \leq 2S_{2^{k}} \qquad k \geq 0.$ 

= (Sn) N=1 is bounded

diversent when 951. Froof: Sequence  $\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is non nejative and

Example: Let 9>0 be a rational number.

Then E is conveyent when of >1 and

decreasing. for any 9>0. From Cauchy disterion & is convergent it

\( \left( 2 \right)^{\text{k}} \).

 $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^k \frac{1}{(2^k)^9}$  is convergent.

consequent iff  $|2^{l-2}| \leq 1$ divergent when  $|2^{-2}| > 1$ 

 $-1 < 2^{+2} < 1$ 

 $-2^{\circ} < 2^{\circ} < 2^{\circ}$ 

This is geometric series.

[-2<0 => 9>1 => = 1 is divergent. while = is commissent.

Reavourgement of said! of Zan is absolutely convajent then

Eaf(n) is also absolutely conveyent for any bijection f: N-1N.

Proof: