

Subsequence:

Let $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$ and $(b_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be sequences of real numbers. We say that (b_n) is a subsequence of $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$ iff $\exists$ a strictly increasing function $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $f(n+1) > f(n)$.

$$b_n = a_{f(n)} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Remark: f is injective. (Because it is strictly increasing)

(It may not be bijective)

$(a_0 \ a_2 \ a_4 \ \dots)$ is a subsequence of

$(a_0 \ a_1 \ \dots)$. $(f(n) = 2n)$

$$1 \ -1 \ 1 \ -1 \ \dots$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} (b_n) &= (1 \ -1 \ 1 \ \dots) = (a_{2n}) \\ (c_n) &= (-1 \ 1 \ -1 \ \dots) = (a_{2n+1}) \end{aligned} \right\} \text{subsequences.}$$

"order preserving subset".

$(-1)^n$ is not convergent but subsequences

$(-1)^{2n}$ and $(-1)^{2n+1}$ are convergent.

Proposition:

Let $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a sequence of real numbers and

let $L \in \mathbb{R}$. The following statements are equivalent.

(a) The sequence converges to L .

(b) Every subsequence of $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$ converges to L .

(a) $\Leftrightarrow$ (b).

Proof: (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b)

Suppose $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$ converges to L .

$$\Rightarrow \exists N \geq 0 \text{ s.t. } \forall n \geq N$$

$$|a_n - L| \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

Define an arbitrary sequence

$$b_n = a_{f(n)} \quad (f \text{ is strictly increasing fn})$$

Since $f(n)$ is strictly increasing $\exists M$ s.t.

$$\forall n \geq M \Rightarrow f(n) \geq N \quad (\text{for any fixed } N)$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists M \text{ s.t. } \forall n \geq M$$

$$|b_n - L| = |a_{f(n)} - L| \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow (b_n)_{n \geq 0} \text{ converges to } L.$$

But (b_n) is an arbitrary subsequence.

This completes the proof.

$$(b) \Rightarrow (a)$$

$$\neg (a) \Rightarrow \neg (b)$$

The sequence $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$ doesn't converge to L .

$$\Rightarrow \exists \varepsilon_0 > 0 \text{ s.t. } \forall N \geq 0 \exists n \geq N \text{ with}$$

$$|a_n - L| > \varepsilon_0. \quad \rightarrow \textcircled{1}$$

Now let us construct the following subsequence.

Let us construct an increasing sequence of

indices $(n_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ inductively so that

$$|a_{n_k} - L| > \varepsilon_0.$$

Step 1: For $k=0$ apply $\textcircled{1}$ with $N=0$.

This implies there exists $n_0 \geq 0$ with

$$|a_{n_0} - L| > \varepsilon_0.$$

Step 2: Suppose n_k is chosen with $|a_{n_k} - L| > \varepsilon_0$.

Apply $\textcircled{1}$ for $N = n_k + 1$. Then there

$$\text{exists } n_{k+1} \geq n_k + 1 \text{ s.t. } |a_{n_{k+1}} - L| > \varepsilon_0.$$

Thus we have a subsequence

$$(a_{n_0} \ a_{n_1} \ \dots) \text{ or } (a_{n_k})_{k=0}^\infty$$

$$\text{s.t. } \exists \varepsilon_0 \text{ s.t. } \forall k \geq 0 \exists n_k \geq 0 \text{ with}$$

$$|a_{n_k} - L| > \varepsilon_0$$

$$\Rightarrow (a_{n_k})_{k \geq 0} \text{ is convergent to } L.$$

This completes the proof.

Proposition:

(a) L is a limit point of $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$.

(b) There exists a subsequence of $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$

which converges to L .

Proof: (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b)

Assume L is a limit point of $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$.

$$\Rightarrow \forall \varepsilon > 0 \forall N \geq 0 \exists n \geq N \text{ with}$$

$$|a_n - L| \leq \varepsilon. \quad \rightarrow \textcircled{1}$$

Define a sequence $(\varepsilon_k)_{k \geq 0}$, where $\varepsilon_k = 1/(k+1)$

choose indices $n_0 < n_1 < \dots$ s.t.

$$|a_{n_k} - L| \leq \varepsilon_k = \frac{1}{k+1} \quad \forall k \geq 0$$

How?

$$\textcircled{1} \text{ Apply } \textcircled{1} \text{ for } \varepsilon = 1, N = 0$$

$$\text{This gives some } n_0 \geq 0 \text{ s.t. } |a_{n_0} - L| \leq 1.$$

$$\textcircled{2} \text{ Assume } n_k \text{ is constructed s.t.}$$

$$|a_{n_k} - L| \leq \varepsilon_k.$$

$$\text{Apply } \textcircled{1} \text{ for } N = n_k + 1, \varepsilon_{k+1} = 1/(k+2)$$

$$\exists n_{k+1} \geq n_k + 1 \text{ s.t.}$$

$$|a_{n_{k+1}} - L| \leq \varepsilon_{k+1}$$

So now we have a subsequence

$$(a_{n_k})_{k \geq 0} \text{ s.t.}$$

$$|a_{n_k} - L| \leq \frac{1}{k+1} \quad \forall k \geq 0.$$

For any given $\varepsilon > 0$ choose k large enough

$$\text{s.t. } \frac{1}{k+1} \leq \varepsilon. \text{ Then for any } k \geq k$$

$$|a_{n_k} - L| \leq \frac{1}{k+1} \leq \frac{1}{k+1} \leq \varepsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow (a_{n_k})_{k \geq 0} \text{ converges to } L.$$

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (a). Let a subsequence $(a_{n_k})_{k \geq 0}$

converges to L .

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and any $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since $n_k \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, there is a $\tilde{K}$

with $n_{\tilde{K}} \geq N$.

Because (a_{n_k}) converges to L , $\exists k'$ s.t.

$$\forall k \geq k' \quad |a_{n_k} - L| \leq \varepsilon.$$

$$\text{Take } k \geq \max \{ \tilde{K}, k' \} \Rightarrow k \geq \tilde{K} \Rightarrow n_k \geq N$$

$$\Rightarrow |a_{n_k} - L| \leq \varepsilon.$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall N \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists n \geq N \text{ s.t.}$$

$$|a_n - L| \leq \varepsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow L \text{ is a limit point of } (a_n)_{n \geq 0}.$$

§ Lecture 13.2

Thursday, 25 September 2025

21:12

Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem:

Let $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a bounded sequence, i.e. $\exists M > 0$

s.t. $|a_n| \leq M \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there is

at least one subsequence of $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$ which converges.

Proof: Let $L = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n$

Note that $-M \leq a_n \leq M \quad \forall n \geq 0$.

Taking $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (-M) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} M$

$$\Leftrightarrow -M \leq L \leq M$$

$\Rightarrow L$ is finite. $\Rightarrow L$ is a limit point

of $(a_n)_{n \geq 0}$.

$\Rightarrow$ There exists a subsequence that converges.

Real exponentiation:

Let $x > 0$ and α be a real number. Let $(q_n)_{n \geq 1}$

be any sequence of rationals converging to α .

Then $(x^{q_n})_{n \geq 1}$ converges. Moreover if $(q'_n)_{n \geq 1}$

another sequence converging to α . Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x^{q_n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x^{q'_n} := x^\alpha.$$

$\uparrow \quad \quad \uparrow$
 Definition.

Proof: $x=1$ trivial.

Case: $x > 1$ | $x < 1$ similar.

$\Downarrow$

It is sufficient to prove that $(x^{q_n})_{n \geq 1}$ is

a Cauchy sequence.

Let $q_n \geq q_m \Rightarrow x^{q_n} \geq x^{q_m}$

$$\begin{aligned} |x^{q_n} - x^{q_m}| &= x^{q_n} - x^{q_m} \\ &= x^{q_m} (x^{q_n - q_m} - 1) \end{aligned}$$

Since (q_n) is a convergent sequence, it is bounded.

Let $q_n \leq M \quad \forall n \geq 1$.

$$x^{q_n} \leq x^M$$

Then

$$\Rightarrow |x^{q_n} - x^{q_m}| \leq x^M (x^{q_n - q_m} - 1)$$

Note that the sequence $(x^{1/k})_{k \geq 1}$ is convergent to 1.

$\Rightarrow \exists k \geq 1$ s.t. $|x^{1/k} - 1| \leq \varepsilon x^{-M}$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$.

Since (q_n) is a Cauchy sequence $\exists N \geq 1$ s.t.

$\forall n, m \geq N$

$$q_n - q_m \leq 1/k$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow |x^{q_m} - x^{q_n}| &\leq x^M (x^{1/k} - 1) \\ &\leq \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Similarly $q_n \leq q_m$.

$\Rightarrow \forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad \exists N$ s.t. $\forall n, m \geq N$

$$|x^{q_m} - x^{q_n}| \leq \varepsilon$$

$\Rightarrow (x^{q_n})_{n \geq 1}$ is a Cauchy sequence and

hence convergent.

Claim 2: $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x^{q_n - q'_n} = 1$.

Proof: Write $q_n - q'_n = r_n$

$\Rightarrow (r_n)_{n \geq 1}$ converges to 0.

$\Rightarrow -1/k \leq r_n \leq 1/k$ for all $k > 0$.

$k \geq 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} x^{-1/k} &\leq x^{r_n} \leq x^{1/k} \\ \uparrow &\quad \quad \uparrow \\ 1 &\quad \quad 1 \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow (x^{r_n})$ converges to 1.

§ Lecture 14.0

Monday, 29 September 2025

20:53

Finite series:

Let m, n be integers and let $(a_i)_{i=m}^n$ be a

finite sequence of real numbers. Then finite

series $\sum_{i=m}^n a_i$ is defined recursively as

$$\sum_{i=m}^n a_i := 0 \quad \text{if } n < m$$

if $\sum_{i=m}^n a_i$ is defined then

$$\sum_{i=m}^{n+1} a_i = \sum_{i=m}^n a_i + a_{n+1} \quad n \geq m-1$$

$$\text{Thus } \sum_{i=m}^n a_i = a_m + a_{m+1} + \dots + a_n$$

$$\text{Further } \sum_{i=m}^n a_i = \sum_{j=m}^n a_j \quad (i, j \text{ are called dummy indices})$$

Properties:

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \sum_{i=m}^n a_i = \sum_{j=m+k}^{n+k} a_{j-k}$$

Proof: since we can change dummy index.

$$\text{Define } j = i+k \Rightarrow i = j-k$$

$$\text{When } i=m \Rightarrow j=m+k$$

$$i=n \Rightarrow j=n+k$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=m}^n a_i = \sum_{j=m+k}^{n+k} a_{j-k}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \sum_{i=m}^n a_i + \sum_{j=n+1}^p a_j = \sum_{l=m}^p a_l$$

Proof: fix m and n ($m \leq n$). Prove by

induction on $p \geq n+1$.

Base case: $p = n+1$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{L.H.S.} &= \sum_{i=m}^n a_i + \sum_{j=n+1}^{n+1} a_j = \sum_{i=m}^n a_i + a_{n+1} \\ &= \sum_{i=m}^{n+1} a_i \\ &= \text{R.H.S.} \end{aligned}$$

Assume $p = q \geq n+1$ is true, i.e.

$$\sum_{i=m}^n a_i + \sum_{j=n+1}^q a_j = \sum_{l=m}^q a_l$$

$$\text{then } \sum_{i=m}^n a_i + \sum_{j=n+1}^{q+1} a_j$$

$$= \sum_{i=m}^n a_i + \sum_{j=n+1}^q a_j + a_{q+1}$$

$$= \sum_{l=1}^q a_l + a_{q+1}$$

$$= \sum_{l=1}^{q+1} a_l$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=m}^n a_i + \sum_{j=n+1}^p a_j = \sum_{l=1}^p a_l \text{ is true for all } p \geq n+1.$$

$$\longrightarrow \text{if } p < n+1 \text{ then (trivial)}$$

$$\sum_{j=n+1}^p a_j = 0.$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad \sum_{i=m}^n (a_i + b_i) = \sum_{i=m}^n a_i + \sum_{j=m}^n b_j$$

Proof: if $n < m$ (trivial)

$$0 = 0.$$

Let $n \geq m$.

Base case: $n = m$ then

$$\sum_{i=m}^m (a_i + b_i) = a_m + b_m$$

$$\text{Let } \sum_{i=m}^n (a_i + b_i) = \sum_{i=m}^n a_i + \sum_{j=m}^n b_j \text{ is true}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \sum_{i=m}^{n+1} (a_i + b_i) &= \sum_{i=m}^n (a_i + b_i) + a_{n+1} + b_{n+1} \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=m}^n a_i + \sum_{j=m}^n b_j \right) + a_{n+1} + b_{n+1} \\ &= \sum_{i=m}^{n+1} a_i + \sum_{j=m}^{n+1} b_j \end{aligned}$$

$$\textcircled{4} \quad \sum_{i=m}^n (c a_i) = c \sum_{j=m}^n a_j$$

Proof: $n < m$ trivial.

$n = m$

$$\text{L.H.S.} = c a_m = \text{R.H.S.}$$

$$\text{Let } \sum_{i=m}^n (c a_i) = c \sum_{j=m}^n a_j \text{ is true}$$

$$\sum_{i=m}^{n+1} (c a_i) = \sum_{i=m}^n c a_i + c a_{n+1}$$

$$= c \left(\sum_{i=m}^n a_i + a_{n+1} \right)$$

$$= c \sum_{i=m}^{n+1} a_i.$$

$$\textcircled{5} \quad \left| \sum_{i=m}^n a_i \right| \leq \sum_{i=m}^n |a_i|.$$

$$\textcircled{6} \quad \text{if } a_i \leq b_i \text{ for } m \leq i \leq n,$$

$$\sum_{i=m}^n a_i \leq \sum_{i=m}^n b_i.$$

Again induction!!

Summation over finite sets:

Let X be a finite set of n elements and let

$f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function. Then $\sum_{x \in X} f(x)$ is

defined as follows.

① select any bijection $g: \{i \in \mathbb{N} : 1 \leq i \leq n\} \rightarrow X$

(This exists by definition).

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \sum_{x \in X} f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(g(i))$$

e.g. $X = \{a, b, c\} \quad n=3$

Define $g(1)=a, \quad g(2)=b, \quad g(3)=c$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \sum_{x \in X} f(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^3 f(g(i)) \\ &= f(a) + f(b) + f(c). \end{aligned}$$

if we define another bijection h i.e.

$$h(1)=c, \quad h(2)=a, \quad h(3)=b$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{x \in X} f(x) = f(c) + f(a) + f(b) = \sum_{i=1}^3 f(h(i)) = \sum_{i=1}^3 f(h(i)).$$

Proposition:

Let X be a finite set with n elements and let $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

be a function. Let $g, h: \{i \in \mathbb{N} : 1 \leq i \leq n\} \rightarrow X$ be

two bijections. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n f(g(i)) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(h(i))$$

Proof: Let $P(n)$ be the following property:

"For any set X of n elements and function

$f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and any two bijections g, h from

$\{i \in \mathbb{N} : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ to X

$$\sum_{i=1}^n f(g(i)) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(h(i))."$$

Base case: $n=0$

$P(0)$ is true because

$$\sum_{i=1}^0 f(g(i)) = 0 = \sum_{i=1}^0 f(h(i))$$

Assume $P(n)$ is true.

Then for $P(n+1)$, set X has $(n+1)$ elements.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} f(g(i)) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(g(i)) + f(g(n+1))$$

Since g is a bijection $g(n+1) = x \in X$.

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} f(g(i)) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(g(i)) + f(x)$$

If $h(n+1) = x$ we are done.

If not then there exists some index j s.t.

$$h(j) = x.$$

$$\text{Then } \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} f(h(i)) = \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} f(h(i)) + f(x) + \sum_{i=j}^{n+1} f(h(i))$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} f(h(i)) + f(x) + \sum_{i=j}^n f(h(i+1))$$

Define $\tilde{h}: \{i \in \mathbb{N} : 1 \leq i \leq n\} \rightarrow X - \{x\}$

$$\tilde{h}(i) = \begin{cases} h(i) & \text{for } i < j \\ h(i+1) & \text{for } i \geq j \end{cases}$$

$$\text{RHS} = \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} f(\tilde{h}(i)) + \sum_{i=j}^n f(\tilde{h}(i)) + f(x)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n f(\tilde{h}(i)) + f(x)$$

Recall $g(n+1) = x$

Then g restricted to $\{i \in \mathbb{N} : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ is

a bijection from $\{i \in \mathbb{N} : 1 \leq i \leq n\} \rightarrow X - \{x\}$.

The function $\tilde{h}$ is also a bijection.

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n f(\tilde{h}(i)) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(g(i))$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} f(h(i)) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(g(i)) + f(x)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} f(g(i)).$$

Properties: ① $\sum_{x \in \emptyset} f(x) = 0$ (The only bijection is the empty map)

$$\sum_{i=1}^0 f(g(i)) = 0.$$

② Let X be a finite set and $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a

function and $g: Y \rightarrow X$ be a bijection then

$$\sum_{x \in X} f(x) = \sum_{y \in Y} f(g(y))$$

Proof: Let $h: \{i \in \mathbb{N} : 1 \leq i \leq n\} \rightarrow X$

$u: \{i \in \mathbb{N} : 1 \leq i \leq m\} \rightarrow Y$

be bijections then. $g \circ u$ is a bijection

from $\{i \in \mathbb{N} : 1 \leq i \leq n\} \rightarrow X$.

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{x \in X} f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(g \circ u(i))$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n (f \circ g)(u(i))$$

$$= \sum_{y \in Y} f \circ g(y)$$

$$= \sum_{y \in Y} f(g(y)).$$

③ Let X, Y be finite sets with $X \cap Y = \emptyset$ then

$$\sum_{x \in X \cup Y} f(x) = \sum_{x \in X} f(x) + \sum_{y \in Y} f(y)$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$f: X \cup Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof: Let X, Y have n, m elements, respectively.

n, m could be zero too.

$$g: \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow X$$

$$h: \{1, 2, \dots, m\} \rightarrow Y$$

Define a map

$$k: \{1, 2, \dots, n+m\} \rightarrow X \cup Y \quad \text{by}$$

$$k(i) = \begin{cases} g(i) & 1 \leq i \leq n \\ h(i-n) & n+1 \leq i \leq n+m \end{cases}$$

Because $X \cap Y = \emptyset$ k is a bijection onto $X \cup Y$.

$$\sum_{z \in X \cup Y} f(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} f(k(i))$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n f(k(i)) + \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+m} f(k(i))$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n f(g(i)) + \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+m} f(h(i-n))$$

$$= \sum_{x \in X} f(x) + \sum_{j=1}^m f(h(j))$$

$$= \sum_{x \in X} f(x) + \sum_{y \in Y} f(y).$$

Lemma: Let X, Y be finite sets. Let $f: X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a

function then

$$\sum_{x \in X} \left(\sum_{y \in Y} f(x, y) \right) = \sum_{(x, y) \in X \times Y} f(x, y).$$

Proof: Let n be the number of elements in X .

We will use induction on n .

$P(n)$: Let lemma is true for any set X with

n elements any finite set Y and any

function $f: X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

We aim to prove that $P(n)$ is true for all n .

Base case: $P(0)$, i.e. X is empty

$$\sum_{x \in \emptyset} \left(\sum_{y \in Y} f(x, y) \right) = 0$$

$$\emptyset \times Y = \emptyset \quad \text{why?}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{(x, y) \in \emptyset} f(x, y) = 0.$$

Assume $P(n)$ is true.

We want to prove $P(n+1)$.

Let X has $(n+1)$ elements.

Let $x_0 \in X$ then $X - \{x_0\}$ has n elements.

$$= X'$$

$$X' \cap \{x_0\} = \emptyset$$

$$X' \cup \{x_0\} = X$$

$$\sum_{x \in X} \left(\sum_{y \in Y} f(x, y) \right) = \sum_{x \in X'} \left(\sum_{y \in Y} f(x, y) \right) + \sum_{x \in \{x_0\}} \left(\sum_{y \in Y} f(x, y) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{x \in X'} \left(\sum_{y \in Y} f(x, y) \right) + \sum_{y \in Y} f(x_0, y)$$

$$= \sum_{(x, y) \in (X' \times Y)} f(x, y) + \sum_{y \in Y} f(x_0, y)$$

$$= \sum_{(x, y) \in X' \times Y} f(x, y) + \sum_{(x_0, y) \in \{x_0\} \times Y} f(x_0, y)$$

$$(X' \times Y) \cap \{x_0\} \times Y = \emptyset$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{(x, y) \in (X' \times Y) \cup (\{x_0\} \times Y)} f(x, y)$$

$$= \sum_{(x, y) \in X \times Y} f(x, y)$$